

Appl. No. 09/975,910

APP 1374

Rule 312 Amendment Dated 02/21/2006

**Remarks/Arguments**

By the Supplemental Notice of Allowability of February 13, 2006, in this application the Examiner attempted to correct the obvious error that claim 9, as allowed, depended on claim, 9 by changing it so that claim 9 depended on claim 8. Unfortunately, as discussed with the Examiner on February 21, 2006, that was not the typographical error of claim 9 as presented in the Amendment of October 6, 2005 and the Supplemental Amendment of December 19, 2005.


In these Amendments inadvertently the language of claim, 10 was also inserted into claim 9. By this Amendment, the language of claim 9 is being returned to the original language of the claim, as filed.

Applicants sincerely regret that this error in transcription was not caught during the filing of these Amendments and appreciate that the Examiner has brought the error to their attention. Since this Amendment merely returns claim 9 to its original language, it is believed that it can be entered under the provisions of Rule 312.

The Issue Fee was submitted January 24, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

Jyh-Cheng Chen et al

By   
James W. Falk  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 16,154